INFORMATION

JD-CR-71 Rev. 3-25

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

Disposition date

Police Case number	Agency name	Agency number	Seized property inventory number
2500376929	EDMC-E	CTCSP0600	

Title, Allegation and Counts

State of Connecticut vs. *(Name of accused)*	Residence *(Town)* of accused	Docket number
Lanphear, Katey, M	Griswold	

Address	Date of birth	
[redacted]	[redacted]	**The undersigned Prosecuting Authority of the State of Connecticut charges that:**
To be held at *(Town)*	Geographical area number	Court date
New London	10	

Count One — Did commit the offense of:			Continued to	Purpose	Reason
Larceny in the First Degree					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			
Griswold	2021-2025	C.G.S. 53a-122(a)(2)			
Count Two — Did commit the offense of:					
Identity Theft in the First Degree					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			
Griswold	2021-2025	C.G.S. 53a-129b(a)(1)			
Count Three — Did commit the offense of:					
~~False Statement to procure issuance of payment card~~					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)* MJ	In violation of General Statute number			
~~Griswold~~	2021-2025	~~C.G.S. 53a-128b~~			
☒ See other sheet for additional counts	Signed *(Prosecuting Authority)*	Date 11/5/2026			

Court Action

Defendant advised of rights before plea *(Judge)* *(Date)*	Amount of bond	Surety	☐ ____% ☐ Cash
☐ Attorney ☐ Public defender	Guardian	Bond change	Election *(Date)* ☐ CT ☐ JY

Count	Plea date	Plea	Plea withdrawn Date	Plea withdrawn New plea	Verdict finding	Fine	Remit	Additional disposition
1						$	$	
2						$	$	
3						$	$	

Date	Other Court Action	Judge

Receipt number	Cost ☐ IMP ☐ NCI	Bond information ☐ Bond forfeited ☐ Forfeiture vacated ☐ Forfeiture vacated and bond reinstated

Application fee - receipt number if paid	Circle one W I Q	Program fee - receipt number if paid	Circle one W I Q	Probation fee - receipt number if paid	Circle one W I Q

Prosecutor on original disposition	Reporter/monitor on original disposition	Signed *(Clerk)*	Signed *(Judge)*

INFORMATION
JD-CR-71 Rev. 3-25

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

Disposition date

Police Case number	Agency name	Agency number	Seized property inventory number
2500376929	EDMC-E	CTCSP0600	

Geographical area number: 10

State of Connecticut vs. Lanphear, Katey, M

Additional Counts

Count Four — Did commit the offense of:			Continued to	Purpose	Reason
Illegal use of payment card					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			
Griswold	**2021-2025**	**53a-128d(1)(2)**			
Count Five — Did commit the offense of:					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			
Count Six — Did commit the offense of:					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			
Count Seven — Did commit the offense of:					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			
Count Eight — Did commit the offense of:					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			

Signed *(Prosecuting Authority)*	Printed name of Prosecuting Authority	Date signed
	M. Goldberg	11/5/2026

Additional Court Action

Count	Plea date	Plea	Plea withdrawn Date	Plea withdrawn New plea	Verdict finding	Fine	Remit	Additional disposition
4						$	$	
5						$	$	
6						$	$	
7						$	$	
8						$	$	

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION
JD-CR-64B Rev. 3-25
C.G.S. § 54-2a; P.A. 23-53 § 38
P.B. §§ 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT
www.jud.ct.gov

For Court Use Only
Supporting Affidavits sealed ☐ Yes ☐ No

Police Case number	Agency name	Agency number
2500376929	EDMC-E	CTCSP0600

Name *(Last, First, Middle Initial)*	Residence *(Town)* of accused	Court to be held at *(Town)*	Geographical Area number
Lanphear, Katey, M	Griswold	New London	10

Application for Arrest Warrant

To: A Judge of the Superior Court

The undersigned applies for a warrant for the arrest of the accused listed above on the basis of the facts set forth in the:

☒ Affidavit Below. ☐ Affidavit(s) Attached.

Date	Signed *(Prosecuting authority)*	Type/print name of prosecuting authority
11/5/2026		M. Goldberg

Affidavit

The undersigned affiant, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. That, Affiant Detective Nathalia Troczynski #426, is a sworn member of the Connecticut Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection, Division of State Police and has been employed since September 10, 2021. Affiant Troczynski presently holds the rank of Detective and is assigned to the Eastern District Major Crime Unit at Troop E Montville. Affiant Troczynski has received formal training regarding laws of arrest and laws pertaining to search and seizure from the Connecticut State Police Academy and the Connecticut Municipal Police Officer Standards and Training Council. At all times mentioned herein, Affiant Troczynski was acting as a member of said department. The following facts and circumstances are stated from personal knowledge and observations as well as information received from other police officers acting in their official capacity and from official police reports and statements made by prudent and credible witnesses.

2. That, on October 23, 2025, Troop E received a larceny complaint from the business owner of [redacted], who will be herein referred to as the Victim. [redacted] is located at [redacted] in Griswold, CT. The Victim advised Troop E that he was headed out of State and would follow up the following week when he was back in the State of Connecticut. When the Victim was back on October 27, 2025, Trooper Giampietro #699 responded and obtained a written statement from the General Manager, [redacted], who will be herein referred to as the Witness. It should be noted that the Witness is the Victim's [redacted].

3. That, the Witness provided a signed and sworn written statement. In summary the Witness stated among other things that she began to work for [redacted] around 2011. Her role when she started was as Katey Lanphear's assistant, who will be herein referred to as the Suspect. The Suspect had been employed since 2002. As the years went on the Witness began to take over more tasks, but the Suspect always oversaw the finances. [redacted] Around October 16, 2025, the Witness noticed a check

(This is page 1 of a 8 page Affidavit.)

Date	Signed *(Affiant)*
10/8/2026	Det. Troczynski #426

Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)*	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*
	10/8/2026	[redacted] 217

Finding

This application for an arrest warrant, and affidavit(s) attached to the application, having been submitted to and considered by the undersigned, the undersigned finds from the affidavit(s) that there is probable cause to believe that an offense has been committed and that the accused listed above committed it and, therefore, that probable cause exists for the issuance of a warrant for the arrest of the accused.

Date and Signature	Signed at *(City or town)*	On *(Date)*	Signed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Name of Judge/Judge Trial Referee
	New London	11/6/26	[redacted]	Newson, J.

Affidavit - Continued

posted in two different categories for the profit loss statement in the accounting software. The Witness spoke to the Suspect about it and the Suspect stated it was a mistake and she would fix it. The Witness was suspicious since this is not a common mistake and began to download bank statements for the company [redacted] checking accounts. The Witness noticed payments to [redacted] Credit Card, which the company does not have. Additionally, the Witness saw payments to a [redacted] card but knew the company had not used the card. The Witness then began taking notes of the payments made to the [redacted] credit cards. The Witness then downloaded the 2025 [redacted] Company Credit Card statements. The Witness reviewed the 2025 [redacted] credit card statements and saw that none of the payments made to [redacted] were made to the company credit card account. The Witness continued to review company bank account statements and noticed that there was a [redacted] mortgage payment, which the company does not have. The Witness then began looking into the company shared server. The Suspect had her own folder in this server. In that folder the Witness observed the Suspect had personal finance records she kept. In those records there was a [redacted] credit card, [redacted] credit card, [redacted] mortgage, and [redacted] credit card. The Witness told the Victim her findings and they decided to speak with the Suspect. On October 21, 2025, the Witness noticed a pending [redacted] Mortgage payment in the company business account. The Victim and Witness then had a meeting with the suspect later that day. They confronted the Suspect with the findings. The Suspect stated the payments were simply company bills. The Witness then said to the Suspect that the company does not have a [redacted] Credit Card or a [redacted] Mortgage and explained all her findings. The Witness observed the Suspect become nervous, her hands shook and voice trembled. The Suspect then again tried to say the payments were for the company, grabbed statements, and stated she could show where the payments were posted in the company software. The Witness stated she needed to see the postings in the actual account from the banks and the statements. The Suspect did not respond and there were other comments made by the Victim, but the Witness did not remember exactly what was said. The Suspect then stated, "if you have to fire me I understand," and said she had a lot of bills towards the end of the conversation. The Suspect was fired and left at the end of this meeting. The Witness continued to conduct the audit which in October 2025 totaled approximately $229,000. On November 4, 2025, your Affiant was re-assigned this investigation as the primary investigator.

4. That, on January 15, 2026, your Affiant spoke with the Victim and Witness and the Victim decided he wanted to pursue criminal charges. The Witness identified two business accounts for [redacted] and provided statements for these accounts. The Witness identified [redacted] Account Number: [redacted] and provided statements from 2022 to 2025. The second account the Witness identified was [redacted] Bank Account Number: [redacted] and provided statements from 2021 to 2025. The Witness highlighted the transactions to those accounts that were not authorized by [redacted]. The Witness identified the companies that received unauthorized transactions/payments by the Suspect, as [redacted], [redacted] Credit Cards, [redacted] Credit Cards, [redacted] Credit Cards, and [redacted] Mortgage. It should be noted that the Victim, Witness, and Suspect were the only people who had access to both company [redacted] Bank accounts and the

Date 10/8/2026		Signed *(Affiant)* Det #Thorp #426	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 10/8/2026	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)* #217	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 11/5/26	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 11/6/26

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION
JD-CR-64B Rev. 3-25
C.G.S. § 54-2a; P.A. 23-53 § 38
P.B. §§ 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT
www.jud.ct.gov

Name *(Last, First, Middle Initial)*	Residence *(Town)* of accused	Court to be held at *(Town)*	Geographical Area number
Lanphear, Katey, M	Griswold	New London	10

Affidavit - Continued

unauthorized payments made to [redacted] credit cards from [redacted] Bank account number: [redacted]. There were no payments made to [redacted] credit cards from company [redacted] Bank account number: [redacted]. A review of the statements showed there were a multitude of unauthorized charges from November 30, 2022, to September 25, 2025, to [redacted] credit cards.

14. That, based upon the aforementioned facts and review of financial records your Affiant prepared a search and seizure warrant for the [redacted] Bank, N.A. records. Detective Bresnan the search warrant via certified mail on March 11, 2026.

15. That, a review of the documents provided by [redacted] Bank, N.A., revealed the accounts which received the unauthorized payments belonged to the Suspect, Katey Lanphear. The return identified Katey Lanphear as the customer and identified three credit card account numbers which received payments, [redacted], [redacted], and [redacted]. The last four of a social security number was identified as [redacted], a date of birth of [redacted] email address of [redacted] and listed address of [redacted] Griswold, CT 06351 which all match the Suspect's personal information. This is the same email used for her [redacted] account. The Witness was able to confirm she received an email from the Suspect from the above email address in the past. It is also the same email listed in the [redacted] documents. The documents provided matched every unauthorized [redacted] credit card payment from 2022 to 2025 from [redacted] Bank Account Number: [redacted] which had been identified by the Witness as a business account. The total amount of unauthorized payments made by the Suspect to her personal [redacted] Bank., credit card accounts were $168,246.70.

16. That, in the statements provided by the Witness for the two company [redacted] Bank Accounts there were unauthorized payments made to [redacted] Mortgage and [redacted] credit cards from [redacted] Bank account number: [redacted] from [redacted] Bank account number: [redacted]. There were a multitude of charges from 2021 to 2025. The Witness also provided statements from the company [redacted] credit card ending in [redacted] and highlighted unauthorized charges on the company [redacted] credit card. The Victim, Witness, and Suspect, are the only three who had access to the company credit card and the two business [redacted] account therefore there was probable cause to believe the Suspect made the unauthorized purchases on the company [redacted] credit card since the Victim and Witness did not. It should be noted that according to the Victim and Witness the Suspect had previously made unauthorized payments on the [redacted] and [redacted] company credit cards in 2023. The Suspect was reprimanded by the Victim at the time, and it was believed the problem was rectified. Additionally, the Witness and Victim identified a [redacted] credit card ending in [redacted], which was opened in the Victim's name but had a listed address of [redacted] Griswold, CT which is the Suspect's address. The Victim and Witness never opened this credit card, the Victim submitted a fraud/identity theft report to [redacted] which was assigned case number [redacted]. There is probable cause to believe the Suspect used the Victim's personal identifying information and fraudulently opened this credit card.

Date 10/8/2026		Signed *(Affiant)* [signature] #426	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 10/8/2026	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)* [signature] #217	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)* [signature]	Date 11/5/26	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)* [signature]	Date 11/6/26

Affidavit - Continued

expect at least." The Victim did not answer the Suspect. The Victim had helped the Suspect financially throughout the years even providing money for her son that was going to college at the time. The Victim also noted speaking to an accountant that told them [redacted] was being pushed to bankruptcy with how much money the Suspect was consistently taking.

23. That, on September 24, 2026, the Witness provided a signed and sworn written statement. In summary she stated among other things that she never used the company funds for personal expenses except when the Victim authorized years ago. The Witness never opened credit cards in the Victim's name. Additionally, that her, Victim, and Suspect were the only three with access to both company accounts. The Witness also stated that she and the Victim never made any of the highlighted transactions. As of September 24, 2026, her financial audit showed a financial loss of approximately $301,083.20 of funds that belonged to the company and the Suspect used for her personal benefit and to pay her personal bills.

24. That, on September 30, 2026, [redacted] provided a signed and sworn written statement. In summary he stated among other things that [redacted] He began working for [redacted] the Victim at [redacted] in 1999 and has continued to work there since. He stated he does not remember when the Suspect was fired but remembered hearing rumors that the Suspect took money. He did not know the Suspect was taking money from [redacted] and [redacted] He does not remember when but after hearing the rumors he called the Suspect and asked what happened. [redacted] He asked the Suspect if it was more than $1,000 and the Suspect told him yes. They never talked about it again and he has never had a conversation with the Victim about it. He stated he was surprised to learn about this after the many years she had worked for the company.

25. That, based on the review of the financial documents provided by the Witness and search warrant returns there is a confirmed $246,640.95 of company funds used by the Suspect for her personal bills and benefit. Your Affiant is waiting on additional search warrant returns therefore, the number is expected to increase. Your Affiant met with the Witness on October 5, 2026, to review the audit and the audit is still ongoing.

26. That, on October 5, 2026, your Affiant received a forwarded email that the Suspect sent to the Victim. In summary she stated among other things that her kids and pets depend on her and if she

Date 10/8/2026		Signed *(Affiant)* Det. [illegible] #426	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 10/8/2026	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)* [illegible] #207	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 11/5/26	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 11/6/26

Name *(Last, First, Middle Initial)*	Residence *(Town)* of accused	Court to be held at *(Town)*	Geographical Area number
Lanphear, Katey, M	Griswold	New London	10

Affidavit - Continued

was arrested, she could not even bail herself out. The Suspect made attempts to justify what she did by naming positive things she did for the company and not taking health benefits. She stated she consulted with a lawyer who told her not to speak with police officers on her own and that it would be $10,000 to hire him and she does not have that money. She then claims that she said she said she would pay the Victim back and has every intention to. She finishes her email stating she hopes the Victim will consider calling off the law to make an agreement. It should be noted the Victim and Witness have no recollection of the Suspect previous to her email offering to pay the Victim back.

27. That, based on the review of the documents mentioned above, Witness statements, Victim statement, and the fact that the Victim and Witness did not open the [redacted] credit card ending in [redacted] or the [redacted] credit card ending in [redacted], or make the unauthorized transactions identified by the Witness in the company [redacted] bank accounts. There is probable cause to believe the Suspect, the only other individual with access to the company [redacted] Bank accounts and the company credit cards made these unauthorized transactions for her personal account payments and in the company account credit card, totaling at least $246,640.95.

28. That, the investigation into the Suspect has not revealed any indication that additional people assisted or are co-conspirators in the embezzlement of [redacted] funds.

29. That, based on the above facts and circumstances your Affiant believes probable cause exists that the Suspect, Katey Lanphear [redacted] violated Connecticut General Statutes and this Affiant respectfully requests a arrest warrant be issued.

Date 10/8/2026		Signed *(Affiant)* Det. [redacted] #426	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 10/8/2026	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)* [redacted] #217	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 11/5/26	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 11/6/26